

HR 3094 – Gulf States Red Snapper Management Authority Act

Sponsor – Congressman Graves (R-Louisiana)

Note – information in bold indicates a provision that would affect Council operations or affect Gulf fisheries.

Section	Summary	Impacts	Relation to Other Bills
Transfer to States of Management of Red Snapper Fisheries in the Gulf of Mexico	Would create a new Title V – Transfer to States of Management of Red Snapper Fisheries in the Gulf of Mexico within the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act.		
Multiple subsections: Sec 501. Definitions	Would add new definitions for the title for “coastal waters”, “Gulf coastal state”, “Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council”, “Gulf of Mexico red snapper”, “Gulf of Mexico Red Snapper Management Authority”, “Red snapper fishery management plan”, “Reef Fish Federal fishery management plan”, and “State territorial waters”.		
Sec. 502 Management of Gulf of Mexico Red Snapper	<p>Would create a new title to require the Secretary of Commerce, within 60 days, to establish a Gulf States Red Snapper Management Authority (GSRSMA) that consists of the principal fisheries managers of each of the Gulf coastal states.</p> <p>The GSRSMA’s duties would include: reviewing and approving red snapper management plans; providing standards for each Gulf coastal State to use in developing fishery management measures to sustainably manage Gulf of Mexico red snapper in the coastal waters adjacent to the State; and making scientific data, stock assessments and other scientific information available to the public prior to the information being used during the approval of the red snapper management plan or plans.</p> <p>Would require the GSRSMA to establish a deadline for each Gulf coastal State to submit a red snapper management plan for the State to the GSRSMA. To the extent practicable, the plans would be required to be consistent with requirements for a fishery management plan under section 303(a) of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act.</p> <p>Would require that the GSRSMA, within one year and not more than 60 days after the submission of a management plan, review and approve (by</p>		S. 55 and S. 105 would require the Secretary to transfer exclusive authority for management of the Gulf red snapper fishery to the Gulf States following the development and approval of a fishery management plan by the States.

	<p>majority vote) the red snapper management plan submitted by one or more Gulf coastal State.</p> <p>Would require the GSRSMA require to review and approve any management plans annually.</p> <p>Would require that one or more Gulf coastal States prior to submitting a management plan, provide for public participation including holding at least one public hearing in each respective Gulf coastal State and providing procedures for the public to submit written comments on the management plan.</p> <p>Would require that a management plan submitted by one or more Gulf coastal States: contain standards and procedures for the long-term sustainability of Gulf of Mexico red snapper based on the best available science; comply with the standards that are consistent with the requirements in section 303(a) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act; and determine quotas for the red snapper fishery in the coastal waters adjacent to the Gulf coastal State or States that are based on stock assessments.</p> <p>Would require the GSRSMA to get the Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council to review and approve any reduction of more than 10 percent in the quota allocated to the commercial sector of the Gulf red snapper fishery.</p> <p>Would require the Secretary of Commerce, in coordination with the Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council, to continue to manage the commercial sector of the Gulf red snapper fishery for three years following the enactment of this legislation and would prohibit the GSRSMA from making an allocation to the commercial sector during this three-year period.</p> <p>Would clarify that nothing in the legislation would change the individual quota shares currently in place in the commercial sector of the Gulf red snapper fishery.</p> <p>Would require that the GSRSMA review and approve a fishery management plan submitted by one or more Gulf coastal State within 60 days of</p>		
--	---	--	--

	<p>the receipt of the plan if the plan is considered to be consistent with the requirements of section 303(a) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act.</p> <p>Would require that each Gulf coastal State submit an annual report to the GSRMMA on the status of the Gulf red snapper fishery in the coastal waters adjacent to that State.</p> <p>Would require the GSRMMA to prepare and submit a report to the Secretary of Commerce on the status of the Gulf red snapper fishery based on information submitted in the annual reports of each Gulf coastal State. The report to the Secretary would be required at least once every five years.</p> <p>Would require the Administrator of NOAA to submit an annual report to Congress on the implementation of this title.</p>		
Sec. 503 State Implementation of State Fishery Management Plans	<p>Would require that the GSRMMA certify to the Secretary of Commerce that a red snapper fishery management plan is approved for each Gulf coastal State.</p> <p>Would require the Secretary, upon receipt of a certification by the GSRMMA, to publish a notice in the Federal Register revoking any regulations and portions of the Reef Fish Federal fishery management plan that are in conflict with the red snapper fishery management plan approved by the GSRMMA and to transfer management of Gulf red snapper to the GSRAMA.</p> <p>Would require, upon transfer of management authority from the Secretary to the GSRMMA, each Gulf coastal State to implement and enforce the red snapper management plan approved by the GSRMMA in the coastal waters adjacent to that State.</p> <p>Clarifies that if GSRMMA does not certify a State-submitted plan, the Secretary of Commerce will maintain management authority for the Gulf red snapper fishery.</p>		
Sec. 504 Oversight of Gulf of Mexico Red Snapper	Would require that no later than December 1 of the year following the transfer of management to the GSRMMA, the GSRMMA to: determine if each Gulf coastal State has fully adopted and implemented		

Management	<p>the GSRSMA-approved management plan; to determine if each management plan (assuming there is more than one approved plan) continues to be in compliance with the standards for sustainability developed by the GSRSMA; to determine if the plan by each Gulf coastal State is satisfactory to maintain the long-term sustainability and abundance of Gulf red snapper.</p> <p>In the event that the Gulf red snapper fishery is determined to be experiencing overfishing in the coastal waters adjacent to the State or is subject to a rebuilding plan in those waters, the bill would require each Gulf coastal State to submit a certification to GSRSMA showing that the State has implemented the necessary measures to end overfishing or rebuild the fishery and, in consultation with NOAA, has implemented a program to provide for data collection adequate to monitor the harvest of Gulf red snapper by that State.</p> <p>Would require the GSRSMA, in the event that a Gulf coastal State submits a certification that it has implemented the necessary measures to end overfishing and rebuild the fishery, but has not done so, to vote on whether to notify the Secretary of Commerce of a recommendation for the closure of the red snapper fishery in the waters adjacent to the State territorial waters of that State.</p> <p>Would allow (but not require) the Secretary, within 60 days of a notice that a State has not implemented the necessary measures to end overfishing and rebuild the red snapper fishery, to declare a closure of the Gulf red snapper fishery within the waters adjacent to the State territorial waters of that State.</p> <p>Would require the Secretary, prior to declaring the closure, to consider the comments of the State and the GSRSMA.</p> <p>Would prohibit any person from engaging in fishing for, landing or attempting to land, or failing to return to the water any incidentally-caught Gulf red snapper in the closed area during a closure declared by the Secretary.</p> <p>Would clarify that the bill does not give the</p>		
------------	---	--	--

	Secretary of Commerce the authority to close the Gulf red snapper fishing within the Gulf coastal States' territorial waters.		
Sec. 505 Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission	<p>Would require the Secretary of Commerce to provide all of the funding necessary for stock assessments, research, and management of the red snapper fishery to the Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission (Commission).</p> <p>Would require the Commission to be responsible for administering the funds provided by the Secretary to each of the Gulf coastal States for the proper management of the red snapper fishery.</p> <p>Would clarify that nothing in this section of the bill would be construed to increase the amount of Federal funds authorized to be appropriated for the management of the Gulf red snapper fishery.</p>		Similar language is included in H.R. 981 and H.R. 1335.
Sec. 506 No effect on management of shrimp fisheries in federal waters	<p>Would clarify that nothing in the new title may be construed to effect any requirement relating to the use of red snapper bycatch devices in shrimp trawling fishing activities in the Gulf of Mexico.</p> <p>Would clarify that nothing in the new title may be construed to apply to or affect the Federal management of commercial shrimp fisheries in the Gulf of Mexico including any incidental catch of red snapper.</p> <p>Would add a provision to the Recreational Fisheries Data Collection program in section 401 of the Act to require that the program take into consideration all data collection activities related to fishery efforts that are undertaken by each relevant State marine resources division for each Gulf State.</p> <p>The bill would further amend the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act to extend the seaward boundary for all Gulf coastal States to 3 marine leagues (9 nautical miles).</p>		<p>Similar data collection language requiring better recreational data collection is included in H.R. 981, H.R. 1335, H.R. 1826, and S. 1403.</p> <p>Language extending state jurisdiction if included in H.R. 981, H.R. 1335, S. 55, and S. 105.</p>