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Adult Goliath Grouper aggregating at the MG111 barge wreck off of Jupiter, FL in 65 feet of water. Photo by Mr. Walt Stearns, Underwater Journal (http://www.waltstearns.com/underwateriournal.html )

## Assessment efforts

- SEDAR 3 (2003)
- Data workshop concluded that data were insufficient to conduct a quantitative stock assessment, but survey data were subsequently discovered leading to the Review Panel recommending that an assessment should be attempted.
- SEDAR 6 (2006)
- Review workshop only to consider Goliath Grouper and Hogfish assessments.
- First use of the "catch-free" model and relative benchmarks


## Assessment efforts

- SEDAR 23 (2010) - rejected by Review Panel
- Data, Assessment, and Review Workshops, Catch-free model used
- Review Panel rejected the assessment, among other reasons, because it could not provide absolute benchmarks (TORs)
- FWC update (2015)
- Revised and updated indices for the Catch-free model.
- Primarily designed to inform the FWC commissioners on current trends in the population since SEDAR 47 was already being planned.


## Assessment efforts

- In planning the analyses for SEDAR 47, data sources were considered to determine whether new types of data suitable for the assessment had become available.
- Research studies had been conducted on estuarine and offshore portions of the population
- Good information on sizes of individuals, movements, site fidelity, genetics, potential for nursery habitat identification, mercury levels, and other aspects of its life history.
- Some potential information on age composition of offshore fish available, but still undergoing evaluation and was not available for the SEDAR 47.
- Without new data suitable for the assessment, we did not hold data or assessment workshops
- We used the recommendations from SEDAR 23 to structure data inputs for this assessment.


## Since SEDAR 23:

- Length measurements
- Underwater
- Capture, episodic mortality events
- Dorsal fin rays
- Genetics (kinship analyses in progress)
- Ages - fin rays still being evaluated
- Mark-recapture
- Movements
- Site fidelity
- Potential estimate of total mortality (depends on ages)
- Refinements to model inputs
- new structure for MRFSS/MRIP index
- recreational data re-estimated
- two models:
- Catch-free (Porch et al. 2004)
- Stochastic Stock Reduction Analysis (Martell et al. 2008)
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## Non-lethal ageing techniques

## Dorsal spine cross-section

(Brusher and Schull 2009)


## Dorsal fin ray cross-section

 (Murie et al. 2009)

Brusher, J. H., and J. Schull. 2009. Non-lethal age determination for juvenile goliath grouper (Epinephelus itajara) from southwest Florida. Endangered Species Research 7:205-212.
Murie, D., D. Parkyn, C. C. Koenig, F. C. Coleman, J. Schull, and S. Frias-Torres. 2009. Evaluation of finrays as a non-lethal ageing method for protected goliath grouper Epinephelus itajara in Florida Endangered Species Research 7:213-220.

## Estuarine and offshore vulnerability curves (SEDAR 47) estimated for Goliath Grouper - aged specimens
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Offshore Fish

Figs. 3.3.7, 3.3.8, 3.3.9

## REEF

## (Reef

Environmental Education Foundation)

open blue circles: sites without Goliaths yellow dots: sites with Goliaths at least once in a year.

## REEF 1993-2014

Florida $1993 \mathrm{~N}=14$ site-days

open blue circles: sites without Goliaths yellow dots: sites with Goliaths at least once in a year.

## REEF Index

## REEF Index: new_hab_FL_S47



## The indices scaled to their means




Offshore Indices

## The Catch-free and SSRA models are types of Agestructured Surplus Production Models (ASPM)

- Replaces estimation of production model parameters through incorporation of a stock-recruitment relationship dependent on spawning stock size
- Attempts to account for age structure of the population through time
- Projects population forward through time through age-structured simulations, accounting for time lags, fleet selectivities, and age schedules for biological parameters (e.g., growth, maturity, fecundity, etc., most often fixed rather than model-estimated)
- Tuned with age-aggregated or age-structured abundance indices, each with its own unique age-selection
- Typically, ASPMs do not directly incorporate age or size composition of catches, and age schedules are specified by the user (estimated externally to the model)
- The Catch-free model is unique among this class of models in that it does not use any information on fishery removals for its estimates.


## Typical Age-structured Surplus Production Model inputs

Harvests (removals)


## Vulnerabilities/selectivities



Biological parameters
(growth, maturity, fecundity, weight-at-age)

Indices of abundance

$\mathrm{M}=\mathbf{0 . 1 8}$ per year

## Relative stock

 status under two different levels of natural mortality," $F_{\text {current }}$ " for projections
$\mathrm{M}=0.12$ per year


SSB2014/SSB50SPR


## Assessment efforts

- SEDAR 47 (2016)
- Further revisions and updates to indices
- Models: Catch-free and stochastic stock reduction analysis
- Analyses rejected by Review Panel.
- Did not feel the reconstruction of fishery removals was sufficiently vetted.
- Did not accept the indices of abundance as presented.
- Did not accept the proxies we used for age structure for fishery catches or indices.
- Expressed concern that Data and Assessment Workshops were not held for this SEDAR.
- Made recommendations for a designed fishery-independent survey which would provide more acceptable data to examine changes in population abundance and distribution for this species.

