Agenda Gulf SEDAR Committee

Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council Hilton Galveston Island Resort Galveston, Texas

Monday, October 5, 2015 4:30 p.m. - 5:00 p.m.

- I. Adoption of Agenda (Tab I, No. 1) Anson
- II. Approval of Minutes (Tab I, No. 2) Anson
- III. Action Guide and Next Steps (Tab I, No. 3)
- IV. SEDAR Steering Committee Update Gregorya) Committee Recommendations Anson
- V. SEDAR Schedule Review (Tab I, No. 4) Rindone
 a) Update: Progress and Deliverables Rindone
 b) Committee Recommendations Anson
- VI. Other Business Anson

Members:

Kevin Anson, Council Chair Pamella Dana, Mackerel Chair TBD, Red Drum Chair Johnny Greene, Reef Fish Chair

Staff: Doug Gregory/Ryan Rindone

1	GULF OF MEXICO FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL
2	
3	GULF SEDAR COMMITTEE
4	
5	
6	Golden Nugget Casino Hotel Biloxi, Mississippi
7	
8	March 30, 2015
9	
L0	
L1 L2	VOTING MEMBERS Kevin Anson
LZ L3	Pamela DanaFlorida
L 3	John GreeneAlabama
L 1 L5	Harlon PearceLouisiana
L 5	Harron Pearcebourstand
L 7	NON-VOTING MEMBERS
L 7	Martha Bademan (designee for Nick Wiley)Florida
L9	Leann BosargeMississippi
20	Doug BoydTexas
21	Jason BrandUSCG
22	Roy CrabtreeNMFS, SERO, St. Petersburg, Florida
23	Dale Diaz (designee for Jamie Miller)
24	Dave Donaldson
25	Campo MatensLouisiana
26	Corky PerretMississippi
27	Lance Robinson (designee for Robin Riechers)Texas
28	John SanchezFlorida
29	Greg StunzTexas
30	David WalkerAlabama
31	Roy WilliamsFLorida
32	
33	STAFF
34	Steven AtranSenior Fishery Biologist
35	Assane DiagneEconomist
36	John FroeschkeFishery Biologist/Statistician
37	Doug GregoryExecutive Director
88	Karen HoakAdministrative and Financial Assistant
39	Ava LasseterAnthropologist
10	Mara LevyNOAA General Counsel
11	Cathy ReadingerAdministrative Officer
12	Ryan RindoneFishery Biologist/SEDAR Liaison
13	Bernadine RoyOffice Manager
14	Charlotte SchiaffoResearch & Human Resource Librarian
15	Bryan SchoonardGIS Analyst
16	
17	OTHER PARTICIPANTS
18	Pam AndersonPanama City, FL

Tab I, No. 2

1	Randy BoggsOrange Beach, AL
2	Steve BranstetterNMFS
3	J.P. BrookerOcean Conservancy, St. Petersburg, FL
4	Michael DrexlerOcean Conservancy, St. Petersburg, FL
5	Cynthia FenykNOAA
6	Martin Fisher
7	Chuck GuilfordFL
8	Ken Haddad American Sportfishing Association, Lloyd, FL
9	Chad HansonPew Environmental Trusts
10	Betty HarderFL
11	Ben Hartig
12	Bill KellyFKCFA, FL
13	Kristin McConnellEDF, Austin, TX
14	Jack McGovernNMFS
15	George NilesSummerland Key, FL
16	Daniel PadronKey West, FL
17	Bonnie PonwithSEFSC
18	Jenny ThompsonOceana, Lafayette, LA
19	Bob ZalesPanama City, FL
20	
21	
22	
44	
23	The Gulf SEDAR Committee of the Gulf of Mexico Fishery
	<u>-</u>
23 24	Management Council convened at the Golden Nugget Casino Hotel,
23 24 25	Management Council convened at the Golden Nugget Casino Hotel, Biloxi, Mississippi, Monday afternoon, March 30, 2015, and was
23 24 25 26	Management Council convened at the Golden Nugget Casino Hotel,
23 24 25 26 27	Management Council convened at the Golden Nugget Casino Hotel, Biloxi, Mississippi, Monday afternoon, March 30, 2015, and was called to order at 1:35 p.m. by Chairman Kevin Anson.
23 24 25 26 27 28	Management Council convened at the Golden Nugget Casino Hotel, Biloxi, Mississippi, Monday afternoon, March 30, 2015, and was called to order at 1:35 p.m. by Chairman Kevin Anson. ADOPTION OF AGENDA
23 24 25 26 27 28 29	Management Council convened at the Golden Nugget Casino Hotel, Biloxi, Mississippi, Monday afternoon, March 30, 2015, and was called to order at 1:35 p.m. by Chairman Kevin Anson. ADOPTION OF AGENDA APPROVAL MINUTES
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	Management Council convened at the Golden Nugget Casino Hotel, Biloxi, Mississippi, Monday afternoon, March 30, 2015, and was called to order at 1:35 p.m. by Chairman Kevin Anson. ADOPTION OF AGENDA
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	Management Council convened at the Golden Nugget Casino Hotel, Biloxi, Mississippi, Monday afternoon, March 30, 2015, and was called to order at 1:35 p.m. by Chairman Kevin Anson. ADOPTION OF AGENDA APPROVAL MINUTES ACTION GUIDE AND NEXT STEPS
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	Management Council convened at the Golden Nugget Casino Hotel, Biloxi, Mississippi, Monday afternoon, March 30, 2015, and was called to order at 1:35 p.m. by Chairman Kevin Anson. ADOPTION OF AGENDA APPROVAL MINUTES ACTION GUIDE AND NEXT STEPS CHAIRMAN KEVIN ANSON: Committee members are myself and Dr. Dana
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	Management Council convened at the Golden Nugget Casino Hotel, Biloxi, Mississippi, Monday afternoon, March 30, 2015, and was called to order at 1:35 p.m. by Chairman Kevin Anson. ADOPTION OF AGENDA APPROVAL MINUTES ACTION GUIDE AND NEXT STEPS CHAIRMAN KEVIN ANSON: Committee members are myself and Dr. Dana is here and Harlon Pearce and Johnny Greene is here and so Item
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	Management Council convened at the Golden Nugget Casino Hotel, Biloxi, Mississippi, Monday afternoon, March 30, 2015, and was called to order at 1:35 p.m. by Chairman Kevin Anson. ADOPTION OF AGENDA APPROVAL MINUTES ACTION GUIDE AND NEXT STEPS CHAIRMAN KEVIN ANSON: Committee members are myself and Dr. Dana is here and Harlon Pearce and Johnny Greene is here and so Item Number I is Adoption of the Agenda. Any changes to the agenda?
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35	Management Council convened at the Golden Nugget Casino Hotel, Biloxi, Mississippi, Monday afternoon, March 30, 2015, and was called to order at 1:35 p.m. by Chairman Kevin Anson. ADOPTION OF AGENDA APPROVAL MINUTES ACTION GUIDE AND NEXT STEPS CHAIRMAN KEVIN ANSON: Committee members are myself and Dr. Dana is here and Harlon Pearce and Johnny Greene is here and so Item Number I is Adoption of the Agenda. Any changes to the agenda? Moving on to Item Number II, Approval of Minutes, does anyone
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	Management Council convened at the Golden Nugget Casino Hotel, Biloxi, Mississippi, Monday afternoon, March 30, 2015, and was called to order at 1:35 p.m. by Chairman Kevin Anson. ADOPTION OF AGENDA APPROVAL MINUTES ACTION GUIDE AND NEXT STEPS CHAIRMAN KEVIN ANSON: Committee members are myself and Dr. Dana is here and Harlon Pearce and Johnny Greene is here and so Item Number I is Adoption of the Agenda. Any changes to the agenda?
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	Management Council convened at the Golden Nugget Casino Hotel, Biloxi, Mississippi, Monday afternoon, March 30, 2015, and was called to order at 1:35 p.m. by Chairman Kevin Anson. ADOPTION OF AGENDA APPROVAL MINUTES ACTION GUIDE AND NEXT STEPS CHAIRMAN KEVIN ANSON: Committee members are myself and Dr. Dana is here and Harlon Pearce and Johnny Greene is here and so Item Number I is Adoption of the Agenda. Any changes to the agenda? Moving on to Item Number II, Approval of Minutes, does anyone have any changes to the minutes?
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38	Management Council convened at the Golden Nugget Casino Hotel, Biloxi, Mississippi, Monday afternoon, March 30, 2015, and was called to order at 1:35 p.m. by Chairman Kevin Anson. ADOPTION OF AGENDA APPROVAL MINUTES ACTION GUIDE AND NEXT STEPS CHAIRMAN KEVIN ANSON: Committee members are myself and Dr. Dana is here and Harlon Pearce and Johnny Greene is here and so Item Number I is Adoption of the Agenda. Any changes to the agenda? Moving on to Item Number II, Approval of Minutes, does anyone
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38	Management Council convened at the Golden Nugget Casino Hotel, Biloxi, Mississippi, Monday afternoon, March 30, 2015, and was called to order at 1:35 p.m. by Chairman Kevin Anson. ADOPTION OF AGENDA APPROVAL MINUTES ACTION GUIDE AND NEXT STEPS CHAIRMAN KEVIN ANSON: Committee members are myself and Dr. Dana is here and Harlon Pearce and Johnny Greene is here and so Item Number I is Adoption of the Agenda. Any changes to the agenda? Moving on to Item Number II, Approval of Minutes, does anyone have any changes to the minutes? MR. HARLON PEARCE: Motion that we approve.
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	Management Council convened at the Golden Nugget Casino Hotel, Biloxi, Mississippi, Monday afternoon, March 30, 2015, and was called to order at 1:35 p.m. by Chairman Kevin Anson. ADOPTION OF AGENDA APPROVAL MINUTES ACTION GUIDE AND NEXT STEPS CHAIRMAN KEVIN ANSON: Committee members are myself and Dr. Dana is here and Harlon Pearce and Johnny Greene is here and so Item Number I is Adoption of the Agenda. Any changes to the agenda? Moving on to Item Number II, Approval of Minutes, does anyone have any changes to the minutes? MR. HARLON PEARCE: Motion that we approve. CHAIRMAN ANSON: We have a motion to approve the agenda as
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	Management Council convened at the Golden Nugget Casino Hotel, Biloxi, Mississippi, Monday afternoon, March 30, 2015, and was called to order at 1:35 p.m. by Chairman Kevin Anson. ADOPTION OF AGENDA APPROVAL MINUTES ACTION GUIDE AND NEXT STEPS CHAIRMAN KEVIN ANSON: Committee members are myself and Dr. Dana is here and Harlon Pearce and Johnny Greene is here and so Item Number I is Adoption of the Agenda. Any changes to the agenda? Moving on to Item Number II, Approval of Minutes, does anyone have any changes to the minutes? MR. HARLON PEARCE: Motion that we approve. CHAIRMAN ANSON: We have a motion to approve the agenda as written and it's seconded. Approval of Minutes, does anyone
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	Management Council convened at the Golden Nugget Casino Hotel, Biloxi, Mississippi, Monday afternoon, March 30, 2015, and was called to order at 1:35 p.m. by Chairman Kevin Anson. ADOPTION OF AGENDA APPROVAL MINUTES ACTION GUIDE AND NEXT STEPS CHAIRMAN KEVIN ANSON: Committee members are myself and Dr. Dana is here and Harlon Pearce and Johnny Greene is here and so Item Number I is Adoption of the Agenda. Any changes to the agenda? Moving on to Item Number II, Approval of Minutes, does anyone have any changes to the minutes? MR. HARLON PEARCE: Motion that we approve. CHAIRMAN ANSON: We have a motion to approve the agenda as

 MR. PEARCE: I will adopt the minute as written.

CHAIRMAN ANSON: Is there a second?

1 MR. GREENE: Second.

CHAIRMAN ANSON: Any discussion? No. Action Guide and Next Steps is Tab I, Number 3. You see that in the briefing book. We are just going to be reviewing the current SEDAR schedule. Item Number IV, Tab I, Number 4, Mr. Rindone, do you want to take us through that?

SEDAR SCHEDULE REVIEW

MR. RYAN RINDONE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I have a few edits to the schedule that I received early this morning from Julie Neer for the goliath and black grouper assessments that are going to be done by the State of Florida. I have those listed as beginning in the spring of 2015 and they are actually going to begin in the fall and they will be completed by the spring of 2016.

For the greater amberjack and gag updates, both of those are going to start in the summer of 2016 and be available in early 2017 and that is using 2015 data and so it's the same principle as with the last red snapper update assessment and try and start them in early September and have them completed by January and no later than March, so that the council can take action on any changes and implement them before the fishing season ends.

Then for the data-limited stock assessment, the data workshop for that is going to be in May and there are going to be assessment webinars held June through August, using the same style that we're currently using for red grouper, which is where the assessment team can converse with SSC members and AP members and other pertinent individuals behind the scenes, but all decision making happens during plenary webinars, of which there are usually no more than three or four, but it allows the public to still be able to see what's going on.

Then the review workshop for that data-limited effort will be in November of 2016 and so it should get to us in either the end of November or probably our January meeting and the terminal year of data for those species that are going to be looked at in the data-poor effort would be 2014.

Outside of that, one of the outstanding issues that we have on the schedule is in 2017, where the SSC had recommended that a standard assessment of red snapper be done and the council still needs to make a determination on whether that's an appropriate spot to place that assessment or if it should happen sooner or later than that time.

1 2

CHAIRMAN ANSON: Any discussion on that particular item or any other items on the schedule?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DOUGLAS GREGORY: I think at the last meeting you said that we were supposed to get some species selections for the data poor and have we gotten those?

MR. RINDONE: We have not received anything as yet from the Science Center. We were told at the last meeting that they would be giving us some insight on species that they thought would be good candidates at this meeting and so I don't know if Bonnie wants to weigh in on that.

DR. BONNIE PONWITH: Yes and thank you. We have done an analysis based on data availability and ranked a suite of species based on how strong a candidate they are for assessment and what I have gotten from the analysts are about five categories of data availability and suitability for a first round of a data-poor stock composite.

Again, the notion is that we do a stock assessment, we typically do an assessment focused on one stock and for this, we would be applying modeling approaches that are less complex and because of that, we are able to do more than one stock and so the stocks that bubbled up as having the highest data quality are scamp and gray snapper and you will note that they are also proposed on the list for 2017 benchmark stock assessments and so that comports that they have got adequate amounts of data to be either put in that data-poor group or to be done as a benchmark.

In addition to that, we've got, in Category 1, lane snapper, the red drum that's already listed, and wenchman. Category 2 are the ones that are sort of next in terms of their data availability and that's almaco jack, the lesser amberjack, yellowmouth grouper, snowy grouper, and speckled hind. The notion is that we could at least do the Category 1 and could also include some of the Category 2 as we look at the data-poor stocks in 2016. I can provide that list to you in written form if that helps.

CHAIRMAN ANSON: Yes, if you could forward that list, that would be great, Bonnie.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GREGORY: What were some the broad characteristics of these species for the different categories? I assume abundance or prevalence in the catch or catch levels was one of the issues.

DR. PONWITH: For the list that I am going to give you, we have categorized them 1 through 5 and this is based solely on data availability and by data availability, it's a combination of the quality and quantity of fishery-dependent data, biological data, and fishery-independent data.

By the time we get down to Category 4, the data are so sparse that it's likely we wouldn't have enough information for anything more than a catch-only-based method, like using something like annual landings.

 Again, the idea of the data-poor approach is right now, to meet those ACL requirements that we had with the reauthorization of Magnuson, we were required to have ACLs. For stocks that weren't assessed, we used sort of an average landings approach with a buffer applied and the notion is that for some of those stocks we actually have enough data that we could include more sophisticated analysis than just using annual landings. In other words, we want to capitalize on what we have, even if it's enough for a traditional stock assessment.

CHAIRMAN ANSON: Thank you, Bonnie. Ryan, do you have any other comments?

MR. RINDONE: It's good to have a list to go off of. Dr. Ponwith, how many species do you think it's reasonable for the council to want to include for consideration in the data-poor effort?

DR. PONWITH: I think that we can, at a minimum, include the ones that we have that are Category 1, which amounts to five stocks. The Category 2 amounts to five additional stocks and so what I would say is let's look at those Category 1 stocks and then of the Category 2 stocks, let's rank them in order of the council's view of their importance from a management standpoint.

Then what we can do is as we get to that saturation point where we think that's enough, but bordering on too many, if we can't do all of the Category 2, at least they are prioritized.

CHAIRMAN ANSON: Bonnie, what were those in Category 2 again? They were almaco jack, lesser amberjack, snowy, speckled hind, and what was the fifth one?

DR. PONWITH: It was almaco, lesser amberjack, yellowmouth grouper, snowy grouper, and speckled hind.

 CHAIRMAN ANSON: Is there, as far as grouping them together -- I don't know how intense the workgroups would be or whether or not there would be anybody that has any particular expertise with jacks versus groupers, but is that a consideration? Should you lump all the groupers together versus the jacks or can you pick almaco and then yellowmouth and then lesser, in case it comes down to picking three of the five or just a couple of the five? Is there any consideration for that we need to be wary of?

DR. PONWITH: That's a reasonable question and what I would say at this point is we have ranked these according to simply whether they have got the data to support these data-poor approaches and I think as you're ranking them in terms of your perspectives from a management standpoint, I think that annotating the ranking list just based on which ones you think are the most important.

In other words, we often hear in public testimony that I am really worried about this stock because or we've been seeing lots of these because. That kind of information is valuable to help us. That anecdotal information is really valuable in helping us set these priorities.

Then we can tier those priorities against the logistics issues and the science concerns, because sometimes the management and science concerns are identical and sometimes there is some departures. That would give us the information I think we need to actually interleave that.

MR. PEARCE: Bonnie, all things being equal and if we had the data necessary to do all ten stocks, how many is too many? I mean I think ten is too many, of course, but what is your upper number of how many fish you can do?

DR. PONWITH: I think we can do at least five and anything more than ten is going to be too many and the sweet spot is somewhere between five and ten.

MR. PEARCE: So we could do seven or eight then is what you're saying and that's good.

 CHAIRMAN ANSON: Does the committee want to offer any guidance or any species that they want to rank for the Category 2 fish? Certainly I can recall quite a few folks that have testified for speckled hind and snowy. Those tend to probably be a little bit more of a target species, I think, if you will, relative to the other fish in the reef fish complex.

 I mean my druthers would be to concentrate on the yellowmouth, snowy, and speckled hind and then almaco and lesser would be where I would pick.

MR. PEARCE: I will make that motion, because I agree with you that we concentrate on those three grouper types of yellowmouth, speckled hind, and the other one, snowy.

MR. RINDONE: Mr. Chairman, I have, in order for priority for Category 2, Number 1 is speckled hind and Number 2 is snowy grouper and Number 3 is yellowmouth grouper and Number 4 is almaco jack and Number 5 is lesser amberjack, just in the order that you put them out.

15 MR. PEARCE: Do we need a motion?

CHAIRMAN ANSON: I don't --

19 MR. RINDONE: It's already done.

MR. PEARCE: It's already done? Okay.

MR. RINDONE: So I guess the last thing on the list, in terms of what you guys need to weigh in on, is if we can get the schedule back up there. 2016 is proposed and so we will put the council's priorities along with Dr. Ponwith's list for the Category 1 species and our prioritized list for Category 2 for the data-poor.

I will update the schedule to reflect the changes from Julie that I told you guys about, but in 2017, we will have -- We have a benchmark assessment for both gray snapper and scamp and so with consensus, I will go ahead and I will remove those, since those are going to be part of the data-poor effort, and that will move yellowedge grouper up to the number one spot and red snapper as a standard assessment, if that's where you guys want that to be, to the number two spot for 2017. Do you guys have any edits on that?

DR. PONWITH: 2017 is going to be that challenging year. As you know, we are in the process of implementing the new effort mail survey for the MRIP program and we are going to be collecting those data side-by-side with the Coastal Household Telephone Survey.

46 After we have accumulated a couple of years' worth of data, it 47 is then time to go ahead and evaluate how those two interacted 48 together, the behavior of the mail survey against the more 1 traditional survey that we're doing.

2017 is the year where we would build the calibration approach and peer review that calibration approach and update the past assessments so that we could get those assessment results in terms of the calibrated effort.

My recommendation at this point is even if we do move the gray snapper and scamp into those data-poor assessed stocks in 2016 that we don't plug anything additional into that year, because that is going to be a very, very heavy year to do those updates.

MR. RINDONE: Dr. Ponwith, when you say don't plug anything else, do you mean anything on top of yellowedge and red snapper or anything on top of yellowedge?

DR. PONWITH: What I would say at this point is anything on top of those and what I could -- At this stage, for 2017, you have got red snapper there as a standard and certainly red snapper would be a very high-priority stock to build into that calibration. The calibrations are going to be conducted by substituting these new calibrated data into the results of the past assessment.

For red snapper, what you can do is by leaving that on the schedule for 2017, look at, instead of doing that substitute, do a more robust standard assessment.

MR. RINDONE: Thank you, Dr. Ponwith. For the council, you guys would just need to finalize it that yes, you do want to do red snapper in 2017 and that's all we would need for that.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GREGORY: When we say 2017, is this something we could do like we did with the update and have the result in say January of 2017 or would we get the result at the end of 2017? If we could get it at the beginning of the year, since that's two years away, that would be preferable.

DR. PONWITH: Let me get some clarity. You are asking for the results of the red snapper assessment to be ready by the beginning of 2017? That basically means doing it in 2016, right?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GREGORY: Right and I was just asking if that's possible. I mean what's the timeline here, because that 46 is two years away.

DR. PONWITH: Right now, if red snapper, a standard assessment,

is on the schedule for 2017, the notion would be that that assessment would begin in 2017. I would have to actually take a look at the timelines to be able to match them up and see, but the idea of having results of a stock assessment early in 2017 isn't a 2017 assessment. That would be a 2016.

If I am hearing you right, the question is can we do a red snapper standard assessment in 2016 and so the answer to that is complicated by this calibration. If you did an assessment in 2016, the results would essentially be confounded by the fact that you are not able to do it with the information that we're going to be gaining for the calibration.

My advice would be to not do a red snapper standard assessment in 2016, because you absolutely want that calibration built into that assessment. My advice would be to advise against doing it in 2016.

CHAIRMAN ANSON: Bonnie, outside of the calibration and outside of everything that a standard would -- I mean you could do an update though of red snapper in 2016 without the calibration numbers, correct? I understand it's a little extra work if you do 2016 and then maybe wait until 2017 to come back, but is an update snapper -- I mean would that be able to fit in and still do some data-poor stocks?

 DR. PONWITH: You are right that an update -- An update is significantly different in terms of its scope than a standard would be. What I have to find out is how far out you can do an additional update and still be comfortable that -- It's a complicated answer and it's because when you do an update, you basically update the landings information and update the indices. Let me -- Rather than speculate, let me double check and find out what the analysts' comfort level would be with a second update in 2016.

I will say that an update in 2016 couldn't be wedged into the existing schedule and that something would have to give to be able to accommodate that.

CHAIRMAN ANSON: Yes and that would be with 2015 data and so sometime in the summer and we would have it either in December or the early part of 2017 and have that information available for setting 2017 ACLs and everything. That's what I am trying to get to and so maybe that summer -- Is it three months for an update red snapper? Would it be a three-month process?

DR. PONWITH: It basically is a two-analyst process and it's

about a three-month process to be able to get that done and the assessment this year, this most recent assessment, the timing of the start was based on the intercept calibration. We had to wait for that to be done and be peer reviewed before we were able to have those numbers to include in the update.

CHAIRMAN ANSON: Thank you.

MR. PEARCE: Mr. Chairman, just to clarify, what you're getting at is doing an update on snapper in 2016 and follow up with a standard in 2017, right?

CHAIRMAN ANSON: Yes and essentially, the schedule would still remain the same as far as what's proposed in 2017, but I am just looking at the time period between the last assessment we just had and then not getting any results until summer of 2018, which then would affect the 2019 season. There is quite a few years there and our recent results of update assessments have shown that we've still been able to increase the quota and so just with that in mind, what the hope is, it's that we would still be able to realize an additional bump in quota and we would get a bump in quota for a couple of years.

MR. PEARCE: Would Dr. Ponwith be able to bring this to full council, the idea of whether she could do it or not? Could you get that for full council, so we could, at that time, try to add all this together? Right now, you want us to discuss the standard in 2017, because that's not written in stone yet, is it, and it's to be determined?

 CHAIRMAN ANSON: Yes and I don't necessarily think we need to get that set in stone. It's just going to be out there and proposed, but with the anticipation that it's a realistic agenda or schedule to keep it included in 2017, yes, but then some impacts relative to maybe Category 2 species being assessed in 2016 and they may fall off to accommodate red snapper. Any other discussion? Ryan, do you have anything else?

MR. RINDONE: No, Mr. Chairman, and thank you.

CHAIRMAN ANSON: Thank you. That concludes the SEDAR Committee, if there is no other business.

(Whereupon, the meeting adjourned at 2:00 p.m., March 30, 2015.)

SEDAR Committee Action Guide

Agenda Item III: SEDAR Steering Committee Update

Timeline Status: Scheduling

Council Input and Next Steps: The Committee will review the proceedings from the SEDAR Steering Committee meeting held in Charleston, SC on September 28-29, 2015. No document is

being provided for this item, since the meeting was held the week prior.

Agenda Item IV: SEDAR assessment scheduling (**Tab I, No. 4**)

Documents: SEDAR Schedule (**Tab I, No. 4**)

Timeline Status: Scheduling

Council Input and Next Steps: The Committee will discuss the updated SEDAR assessment schedule (**Tab I, No. 4**). The Committee should discuss any issues or concerns about the progress and deliverables of the updated SEDAR schedule, including input on data-poor species to be assessed. Focus should be on 2017 and 2018.





Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council

Managing Fishery Resources in the U.S. Federal Waters of the Gulf of Mexico

2203 N. Lois Avenue, Suite 1100
Tampa, Florida 33607 USA
Phone: 813.348.1630 • Toll free: 888.833.1844 • Fax: 813.348.1711
www.gulfcouncil.org

Gulf of Mexico SEDAR Schedule September 2, 2015

2,200							
Year	Status	GMFMC	Terminal Year:	Start Date - End Date:			
		1- Red Grouper B (AW and RW)	2013	Oct 2014 - Aug 2015			
		2- Gray Triggerfish S	2013	Mar 2015 - Aug 2015			
	_	3- Vermilion Snapper S	2014	Aug 2015 - Feb 2016			
2015	Final			-			
	Ϊ́	FWC Assessments:					
		1- Mutton Snapper U	2013	Jul 2014 - Jan 2015			
		2- Goliath Grouper B	2014	July 2016			
		1- Gag U	2015	Sept 2016 - Mar 2017			
	Final	2- Greater Amberjack U	2015	Sept 2016 - Jan 2017			
		3- Data Poor: Red Drum, Lane	2014	May 2016 - Nov 2016			
		Snapper, Wenchman,					
2016		Yellowmouth Grouper, Speckled					
2010		Hind, Snowy Grouper, Almaco					
		Jack, Lesser AJ					
		FIMC Assessments:					
		FWC Assessments: 1- Black Grouper B	2014	December 2016			
		1- MRIP Calibration Updates	2014	Fall 2017 – Summer 2018			
	eq	2- Red Snapper S	2016	Fall 2017 – Summer 2018			
2017	Proposed	2 Red Griapper G	2010	Tail 2017 Gailline 2010			
		FWC Assessments:					
		1- Yellowtail Snapper U	2016	Fall 2017 - Spring 2018			
	Proposed	1- Gray Snapper B	2016	Spring 2018 – Winter 2018			
		2- Scamp B	2016	Spring 2018 – Winter 2018			
2018	odo	3- Yellowedge Grouper S	2017	Fall 2018 – Summer 2019			
	Prc						

Assessments are listed in order of priority, as are "data-poor" species. Some assessments require two slots due to the nature of the stock structure. The SEFSC will advise the Council with respect to the feasible number of assessments that can be conducted within a given year.